

Interview SummaryApplication No.
08/659,952Applicant(s)
L.F. Ludwig et alExaminer
Anderson I. ChenGroup Art Unit
2782

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anderson I. Chen (3) _____(2) Craig Opperman (4) _____Date of Interview Dec 22, 1998Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 15, 21, 22, and 28

Identification of prior art discussed:

cited prior art

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant called Examiner to discuss what was allowable in the case. After reviewing the specification and the cited prior art, the Examiner proposed an independent claim with the limitation of call request which results in a first handle associated with one of two AV devices and second call handle associated with the other AV device which defines a call state being at least one of the group consisting of active and hold in a teleconferencing/videoconferencing system. Applicant agreed for Claims 15 and 21. For claims 21 and 28, the Applicant disagreed but agreed cancel them in this case and to pursue them in a subsequent case.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.